UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,951	12/29/2004	Markus Oles	263603US3X PCT	7365
	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET		WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Intonvious Summons	10/519,951	OLES ET AL.					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William P. Watkins III	1794					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) William P. Watkins III.	(3)						
(2) <u>Jay E. Rowe Jr.</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>16 October 2008</u> .							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Keller et al. and Krech.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed several possible limitations involving the characterizaiton of surface roughness. The examiner indicated that any such limitations should be defined as clearly as possible and that any such limitations might be inherent in similar particle systems. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/William P. Watkins III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794							

Application No.

Applicant(s)